



RECEIVED

SEP 10 2003

PTO/SB92 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 U. S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number TECHNULUGI

Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

> Mail Stop: Non-Fee Amendment **Commissioner For Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

August 29, 2003

Date

William H. Dippert

Registration No. 26,723

Reed Smith LLP 599 Lexington Avenue 29th Floor

New York, New York 10022-7650 Tel: 212-521-5400; Fax: 212-521-5450

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Applicant:

Zev ZALEVSKY, et al.

Serial No:

09/907,252

Filing Date:

July 17, 2001

For:

A METHOD AND DEVICE FOR POLARIZATION-BASED ALL-OPTICAL SWITCHING

Enclosures:

(1) Transmittal Letter (in duplicate); (2) Amendment (8 pages); (3) Acknowledgement Postcard.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.03 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Docket No. 298/02511 TRANSMITTALLETTER (General - Patent Pending) In Re Application Of: Zeev Zalevsky, et al. Serial No. Filing Date Examiner Group Art Unit 09/907,252 July 17, 2001 WOOD, Kevin S. 2874 Title: A METHOD AND DEVICE FOR POLARIZATION-BASED ALL-OPTICAL SWITCHING ATTENTION: PRIMARY EXAMINER BRIAN HEALY TO THE DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARKOFFICE: Transmitted herewith is: Response to Office Action dated June 9, 2003 in the above identified application. No additional fee is required. A check in the amount of is attached. The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 03-3419 as described below. Charge the amount of \mathbf{X} Credit any overpayment. Charge any additional fee required. Dated: August 28, 2003 Signature Maier FENSTER, Reg. No. 41,016 William H. Dippert, Esq. certify that this document and fee is being deposited c/o Reed Smith LLP with the U.S. Postal Service as 599 Lexington Avenue, 29th Floor first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the New York, NY 10022-7650 Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Tel: (212) 521-5400

CC:

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

RECEIVED

SEP 10 2003

TECHNULUGY CENTER 2803

Applicant: Zeev Zalevsky et al.

Serial Number: 09/907,252

Filed: July 17, 2001

For: A METHOD AND DEVICE FOR POLARIZATION-BASED ALL-OPTICAL

5 SWITCHING

Art Unit: 2874

Examiner: WOOD, Kevin S.

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P. O. Box 1450

10 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT

Sir:

15

20

25

30

Further to an office action dated June 9, 2003, kindly amend the application as follows:

IN THE ABSTRACT

Kindly replace the abstract with the new abstract enclosed on a separate page.

REMARKS

The present amendment is in response to a final office action dated June 9, 2003. No changes have been made in the claims.

The Examiner objects to the abstract because it is in the form of a claim. The amended abstract is in narrative form, and less than 150 words long.

Claims 2 and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,360,037 to Riza. The applicant respectfully disagrees.

In the second paragraph of the "Response to Arguments" on page 2 of the final office action, the Examiner states that "within the reference [Riza] the two beam components of different polarizations are propagating along the same optical path and are not split into components propagating along different optical paths until they are incident upon the polarizing beam splitting apparatus."

The applicant does not understand how the Examiner can say this, since it is very clear in Riza, in Figs. 3a and 3b (pertinent to our claim 6) and in Figs. 4a and 4b (pertinent to our claim 2), that the two beam components of different polarizations are propagating